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THE PATIENT’S STORY

Mr S is a 62-year-old man with primary lung cancer diagnosed 18 months ago, with metastases to the liver, brain, and adrenal glands. He has recently developed severe weakness of the left upper and lower extremities with an inability to bear weight, likely related to his brain metastasis. He recently discontinued steroids because of unpleasant adverse effects and completed a 2-week course of antibiotics for pneumonia. His symptoms—which include shortness of breath, seizures, constipation, and occasional agitation—are controlled with ipratropium, phenytoin, senna, haloperidol, and clonazepam, respectively. He now receives comfort care only.

Mr S is a thoughtful and articulate man. He had an unhappy childhood and was later troubled by alcohol abuse associated with extensive difficulties sustaining meaningful, lasting relationships or vocational commitments. He states his life “turned around” 30 years ago, after meeting his wife and joining Alcoholics Anonymous; he has been sober ever since. He and his wife describe a close, trusting relationship. They do not have children. While he had a variety of jobs over the years, “none of which I liked,” he worked most recently as a truck driver. His finances are “difficult,” but he indicates that he and his wife manage to make ends meet. Mr S is participating in a study of “Dignity Psychotherapy,” a new intervention designed to preserve dignity at the end of life. He, his wife, and his physician, Dr F, were interviewed for this article by the author.

PERSPECTIVES

Mr S: Dignity is a state of the soul. Dignity is the sense of peace that passes all understanding. I am sure that there is something beyond this lifetime. As a matter of fact, I believe the consciousness goes on from here. Now what the big plan is, nobody has ever got back to me on that, but I am sure it is wonderful . . . because in this lifetime, I have been groping around in the dark and making choices; some of them good and some not so good.

Mrs S: Our dignity has been maintained because of the care we have been receiving in the hospital. The staff has been marvelous. They have been helping us as much as they can. I think part of dignity is trying to make him feel that he is still of value.

Dr F: I think that individuality and dignity may be the same thing in the end. It ends up being what you see as dignity for yourself, doesn’t it? It is trying to preserve the person as they are; you know, the sensible things like keeping them clean, keeping them comfortable, but also enabling their way of being, what made that person that person.

Why Is the Notion of Dignity Important?

The basic tenets of palliative care, including symptom control, psychological and spiritual well-being, and care of the family, may all be summarized as the goal of helping patients to die with dignity. The term “dignity” provides an overarching framework that may guide the physician, patient, and family in defining the objectives and therapeutic considerations fundamental to end-of-life care. Dignity-conserving care is care that may conserve or bolster the dignity of dying patients. Using segments of interviews with a patient with advanced lung cancer, his wife, and his palliative care physician, this article illustrates and explores various aspects of dignity-conserving care and the model on which it is based. Dignity-conserving care offers an approach that clinicians can use to explicitly target the maintenance of dignity as a therapeutic objective and as a principle of bedside care for patients nearing death.
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dignity” has become synonymous with the right to assisted suicide and euthanasia, removing it from its place as a principle of bedside care for patients nearing death. When the preservation of dignity becomes the clear goal of palliation, care options expand well beyond the symptom management paradigm and encompass the physical, psychological, social, spiritual, and existential aspects of the patient’s terminal experience. Systematically broaching these issues within discussions of end-of-life care could allow patients to make more informed choices, achieve better palliation of symptoms, and have more opportunity to work on issues of life closure.

Dignity is defined as “the quality or state of being worthy, honored, or esteemed.” Despite its unfortunate politicization by the physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia movements, dignity does not relate exclusively to considerations of assisted dying. As exemplified by the statements of Mr S, Mrs S, and Dr F, individuals are likely to ascribe their own unique meaning or importance to the notion of dying with dignity, including practical matters such as basic comfort, the tone or quality of care, and considerations of the “soul” or spirit. Dignity provides an overarching framework that may guide the physician, patient, and family in defining the objectives and therapeutic considerations fundamental at the end of life.

A Model of Dignity in Dying Patients

If the preservation of dignity is to be a targeted goal of palliation, the patient’s sense of dignity must first be thoroughly understood. Few studies have addressed this issue directly, although several have examined it from the vantage point of a “good death,” or a “quality of life” paradigm. For example, reviewed the literature to develop a conceptual framework that outlines various domains of influence on the quality of life of dying persons in the context of health care. This framework was meant to guide the development of a comprehensive set of outcome measures, and to evaluate the quality of life of dying persons and the care they require. Others have identified important domains of quality end-of-life care, listing both physical and psychosocial sources of influence. The latter domains are variably described as “support of function and autonomy” and “patient and family satisfaction”; “overall quality of life” and “psychological well-being and functioning”; and “achieving a sense of control” and strengthening relationships. However, without a careful examination of what satisfaction, psychological comfort, or feeling in control and supported means to the dying patient—and because of a paucity of intervention strategies that specifically target these sources of distress—achieving them as therapeutic outcomes remains challenging, and all too often beyond reach.

The notion of a “good death” has been studied in a variety of ways. Emanuel and Emanuel described a detailed framework for a good death. While not empirically validated, it does synthesize the dying experience as a process with 4 critical components, including the fixed patient characteristics, the modifiable elements of the patient’s experience, the various interventions that are available, and the overall outcome. Invited patients and caregivers to cite factors that they consider most important at the end of life. These factors included pain and symptom management, preparation for death, decisions about treatment preferences, and being treated as a “whole person.” However, these were not further explicated, nor were clinical strategies provided.

Payne et al indicated that “dignity” was often deemed important by patients describing a “good death.” Without further clarification, however, the term remains vague and implications for therapeutic responses ambiguous. One study asked members of the patient’s care team within 24 hours of the patient’s death to rate the deceased’s level of dignity during their last 3 days of life. A list of factors generated by the investigator and health care staff were used as criteria against which to make dignity score ratings. The authors concluded that they were unable to shed light on the deeper meaning and personal relevance of patient dignity, describing it as “an elusive concept” that needed to be studied from the vantage point of patients themselves.

One of the few studies to specifically examine the construct of dignity using patient informants provides a model that helps to describe the notion of dignity-conserving care. This study analyzed qualitative interviews of 50 patients in an advanced stage of terminal cancer, and documented their understanding and perceptions of dignity. The dignity-conserving model of care considers 3 broad areas of influence on individual perceptions of dignity: illness-related concerns, ie, those things that directly result from the illness; the dignity-conserving repertoire, ie, those influences related to the patient’s psychological and spiritual resources or makeup; and the social dignity inventory, ie, those environmental influences that can affect dignity (TABLE). This model is empirically based on patients dying from cancer, and bears further validation in other specific illnesses or special populations to confirm its generalizability. In addition, this study was conducted in Canadian patients and should be evaluated in other settings to determine whether components such as autonomy are as salient among individuals from other cultures. Although notions of dignity may be influenced by the nature of one’s illness, or culturally bound by issues such as religion or ethnicity, the proffered model may be sufficiently broad to encompass these various considerations. Acknowledgment of personal attributes, unique differences, and the essential or even subtle qualities each person embodies is fundamental to the preservation of dignity. As such, further testing of the model will be required, it appears elastic enough to support its consideration for broad application among patients nearing death.

Illness-Related Concerns

Illness-related concerns refer to those things that result from the illness itself, and threaten to, or actually do, impinge on
the patient’s sense of dignity. These concerns are directly related to the patient’s illness experience, described in the model as symptom distress and level of independence. For many patients with an advanced illness, symptom distress (denoting the experience of discomfort or anguish related to the progression of one’s disease) is a defining characteristic of the dying experience. According to this model, symptom distress can be further characterized as physical distress and psy-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table. A Model of Dignity and Dignity-Converting Interventions for Patients Nearing Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factors/Subthemes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illness-Related Concerns</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dignity-Conserving Repertoire</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity-conserving perspectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role preservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of pride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopefulness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy/control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generativity/legacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity psychotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience/fighting spirit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dignity-conserving practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining normalcy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finding spiritual comfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Dignity Inventory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care tenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden to others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aftermath concerns</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Who is your closest confidante?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Do you worry about being a burden to others?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“What are your biggest concerns for the people you will leave behind?”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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...chological distress, with the latter including the anguish associated with not knowing, or being unaware of, aspects of one's health status or treatment (medical uncertainty), and the worry or fear associated with the process or anticipation of death and dying (death anxiety). Level of independence reflects the degree of reliance an individual has on others and is often determined by the ability to maintain cognitive acuity and perform tasks of daily living (functional capacity).

Dignity-Conserving Repertoire

The dignity-conserving repertoire incorporates those aspects of patients' psychological and spiritual landscape that influence their sense of dignity. This is often based on pre-existing personality characteristics and on internal resources that patients bring to their illness experience. According to the model, the dignity-conserving repertoire includes dignity-conserving perspectives, i.e., ways of looking at or coping with one's situation, and dignity-conserving practices that can be invoked to bolster or reinforce one's sense of dignity.

The dignity-conserving perspectives include 8 subthemes, each describing a particular influence on a patient's sense of dignity. These perspectives are not hierarchical. No one of them is more potent than any other; one or a few may be as effective for one patient as invoking many or all may be for another. Continuity of self refers to a sense that the essence of who one is remains intact, in spite of an advancing illness. Role preservation is the ability of patients to function or remain invested in their usual roles, as a way of maintaining congruence with a prior view of themselves. Maintenance of pride is the ability to maintain a positive sense of self-regard (maintenance of pride), a feeling that the essence of who he is remains intact (continuity of self), along with an ability to invest in and gain strength from a rich spiritual life (finding spiritual comfort). For Mrs S, on the other hand, the main-

Differing Notions of Dignity

While the model covers general influences on dignity, each individual will ascribe varying degrees of importance to each of its components. The notion of dignity will vary from individual to individual, and between one circumstance and the next. The difference in how Mr S and Mrs S view the notion of dignity is both striking and illustrative. For Mr S, dignity refers to something from within, and seems to resonate with his core spiritual identity. In other words, his conception of dignity is not dependent on physical or environmental factors (ie, illness-related concerns or the social dignity inventory), but rather seems related to his ability to maintain a positive sense of self-regard (maintenance of pride), a feeling that the essence of who he is remains intact (continuity of self), along with an ability to invest in and gain strength from a rich spiritual life (finding spiritual comfort). For Mrs S, on the other hand, the maintenance of dignity is connected to how she and her husband are treated, and the extent to which they are valued (ie, care tenor). Although it is often argued that palliative care must be philosophically rooted in an acknowledgment of the inherent dignity of individuals, this example illustrates that the question, "what is death with dignity?" requires the important corollary, "according to whom?"

For some patients, a sense of dignity is indivisible from their core being or essence. The notion of “basic dignity” has been described as referring to a universal moral quality that is internally held, and inherent in and inalienable from life itself. From this philosophical vantage point, as long as there is life there is dignity. On the other hand, “personal dignity” is frequently invoked in reference to the potential indigencies of death and dying. Loss of dignity is one of the most common responses given by physicians in studies examining why patients select euthanasia or assisted suicide. Personal dignity is a construct that is more individualistic, transient, and tied to personal goals and social circumstances. As such, illness-related concerns or components of the social dignity inventory may infringe on personal dignity, perhaps mediated by the resiliency of one's dignity-conserving repertoire. Depending on one's internal resources, individual autonomy may be conflated with...
the notion of dignity, and the inability to maintain independence while dying may be experienced as a fundamental loss of dignity, undermining the value of life itself. The experience of other cultures that do not emphasize individual autonomy may provide insights into perspectives in which dignity without independence is possible.  

Dignity-Conserving Interventions  

Mr S: I have been there for other people and it has really done me so much good to be of service. Well, now it is my turn. Somebody may feel as good about taking care of me as I did when I was being of service to other people.  

The physician’s challenge in palliative care is to understand how a particular patient and his or her family perceive dignity and create interventions that enhance it. Without adequate strategies to address either terminal distress or suffering for which there is no apparent effective intervention, physicians providing end-of-life care can become demoralized and nihilistic. Thus, dignity-conserving care, with its expanded range of therapeutic possibilities, has implications for the well-being of patient, family, and care provider alike. According to Sulmasy, to treat oneself or others with less than the proper esteem is to behave in an undignified manner.” Therefore, delivering care that bestows dignity on others confers and safeguards the dignity of the provider.  

Every facet of the dignity model offers guidance on how to provide care that may conserve or bolster the dignity of dying patients. If thought of as a therapeutic map, the dignity model points to directions for care that include biomedical, psychological, psychosocial, existential, and spiritual considerations (Table). Each of these components may be applied to Mr S’s experience to better understand the care characteristics most important to him to maintain his dignity.  

Managing Illness-Related Concerns  

Dr F: If symptom management isn’t well handled, discomfort can get in the way of people being themselves, and therefore get in the way of maintaining their dignity.  

Mr S: You know these people wouldn’t allow my lights to go out without keeping me as comfortable as possible.  

The illness-related concerns component of the model speaks to the need for attentive management of physical and psychological symptoms. Steinhauser et al reported that patients, families, and physicians all agree that pain and symptom management is important at the end of life and integral to the success of improving care for the dying. Symptom distress is a central concern to many patients with an advanced malignancy, because pain is positively associated with depression, anxiety, mood disturbance, and psychological maladjustment. Vigilant symptom management is clearly a cornerstone of quality palliation, and an integral feature of dignity-conserving care. Medical uncertainty and death anxiety, both specific types of psychological distress, suggest that providing information about treatment options or the anticipated unfolding of an illness may further help conserve the dignity of patients and families plagued by not knowing sufficiently what the future holds in store.  

Bolstering Independence  

Mr S: I am so angry when I have only one side that works, when I have been a hands-on person all my life. I get angry and frustrated because I can’t do things that used to be so easy for me to do.  

The model suggests that the degree of reliance on others can usually be understood in terms of how much one is able to do for oneself (functional capacity), and the patient’s degree of mental intactness (cognitive acuity). As such, therapeutic strategies should attempt to bolster the patient’s sense of autonomy and their ability to function as independently as possible. Judicious application of orthotic devices, along with physical and occupational therapy, can often bolster functional capacity and the patient’s overall level of independence. For patients who are able to be cared for at home, arranging main-floor access, appropriate medical accoutrements (eg, special bedding if required, comodes, braces, orthopedic supports), and sufficient home care and family respite supports can allow patients to remain at home and maximize autonomy within the constraints of their deteriorating health.  

Dignity-Conserving Strategies  

Mr S: If somebody has to go and wipe off my private parts, well, that is what they do and I am sorry, I didn’t do it on purpose but that is their job and I can allow them to do it. I don’t see that as having anything to do with my dignity. I have other things to be proud of.  

The dignity-conserving repertoire facet of the model speaks to the internal resources that a patient brings to an illness, based on past experience, psychological makeup, and the richness of their spiritual life. Many of the subthemes within the dignity-conserving repertoire focus on the patient’s sense of continued worth, and approximate Cassell’s notion of suffering. According to Cassell, to the degree that personhood is threatened or compromised, suffering will be proportionate. However, it can be difficult to maintain one’s sense of essence (continuity of self) or self-regard (maintenance of pride), or to believe that prior roles are still worthy of investment (role preservation) in the face of deteriorating health circumstances.  

Even though Mr S was no longer able to work or anticipate a prolonged life span, having him participate in discussions about his treatment options, care planning, and financial issues affecting his wife’s future seemed to enhance his sense of being an active and vital participant in a life that was not yet completed. Dignity-conserving strategies should attempt to reinforce the patient’s sense of self-worth by adopting a therapeutic stance that conveys steadfast respect for the patient as a whole person with feelings, accomplishments, and passions independent of the illness experience. This is supported by Cassem’s notion that dying patients...
derive self-respect from a sense that others value them for what they have done and for who they are.\textsuperscript{60} It is no coincidence that patients who feel more appreciated are less likely to have considered euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide.\textsuperscript{61} Ascribing importance to the things that the patient holds dear acknowledges individual personhood, while at the same time strengthening the empathic, therapeutic connection between the patient, the patient’s family, and the care provider (Table).

Hopefulness, an ability to see life as enduring or having sustained meaning or purpose, is another subtheme of the dignity-conserving repertoire.\textsuperscript{37,38} According to Viktor Frankl,\textsuperscript{62} “what matters is not the meaning of life in general, but rather the specific meaning of a person’s life at a given moment.” For dying patients, such meaning can derive from visits with loved ones, reviewing lifetime photographs or stories, bequeathing gifts to loved ones, or engaging in meaning-engendering projects (such as organizing photo albums, writing journals, or even preparing one’s own obituary).\textsuperscript{63} In each instance, these activities provide the patient with a sense that they continue to serve a vital function, and that life maintains its purpose and dignity.

Several studies have reported that the undermining of autonomy has the potential to undermine the value patients ascribe to life, with hopelessness and loss of control correlated with a heightened interest in death-hastening measures.\textsuperscript{63-68} Therefore, strategies that bolster autonomy and control are paramount to the conservation of dignity. One basic strategy is to ensure that patients are involved in their care and treatment decisions, if they wish. Dr F indicated that when Mr S was first admitted, he was medicated because of an apparent problem with insomnia, disruptive to the ward routine. This resulted in him becoming more confused and agitated. In an attempt to heighten his sense of autonomy and self-reliance, and to facilitate a return to his normal routines, Dr F and Mr and Mrs S decided that reducing his nighttime sedatives and spending time outdoors would be helpful. Loss of autonomy and control is a pervasive experience for patients with an advancing illness. Measures that return control to the patient should be considered and instituted whenever feasible.

For some patients, the preservation of dignity can be achieved by a connection to a spiritual or religious practice or community (finding spiritual comfort).\textsuperscript{45,46} Facilitating these connections and enabling the expression of culturally held beliefs or denominationally appropriate practices constitute dignity-conserving care. For others, ensuring that some aspect of life may transcend death (ie, the generativity/legacy subtheme) holds the key to a maintained sense of dignity.\textsuperscript{39,60} For these patients, participating in a life project such as making an audiotape or videotape, writing letters, keeping a journal, or engaging in dignity psychotherapy (as described below) can offer the comfort of knowing that something of their essence or personhood will survive beyond death.

**Mrs S:** “Being able to read his words will be a way of helping me to remember him, and to think of him. I didn’t always understand him, because he was a free spirit and I was the worrier. Maybe I didn’t trust God enough. I’m glad I’ll have his words to comfort me.”

For many patients, the maintenance of dignity seems connected to the notion that something of their essence will survive beyond the event of death itself (ie, the generativity/legacy subtheme). The psychotherapy clinical trial in which Mr S participated is based in part on this premise. In dignity psychotherapy, patients dying of any cause and thought to be within the last 6 months of life are asked to speak on tape about various aspects of life they would most want permanently recorded and ultimately remembered. Patients are asked a series of questions, based on the dignity model, which focus on things that they feel are most important and that they would most want their love one(s) to remember. Whether or not they feel they have made an important contribution in life, this intervention can engender a sense that they will leave something of value, whether to thank loved ones, ask for forgiveness, leave important information or instructions, or provide words of comfort (Box).
Dignity psychotherapy interviews are transcribed and then edited so that they read like well-honed narratives. The “life manuscript” is returned to the patient, in most instances to be left for surviving loved ones. This therapeutic process is intended to enhance a sense of meaning and purpose for dying patients. In providing a lasting legacy for their loved ones, patients may sense that their dignity has been duly honored and therefore enhanced.

The Social Dignity Inventory

Mrs S: [The staff] have showed an interest in us and that is very important. They have been open to my coming to them and exploring [every] possibility. They have just been so helpful . . . that is really appreciated. I really admire them.

Dr F: If all you see when cleaning someone up is excrement, that patient’s dignity will suffer. If, on the other hand, you can see the whole person, that person’s dignity is more likely to stay intact.

The social dignity inventory component of the model points to environmental or contextual influences on the patient’s sense of dignity. Studies find that dying patients’ perceptions of support are significantly related to psychological adjustment.48-50,69 As such, measures that use the social support network—invoking family, friends, health care providers, other patients, spiritual practitioners, and others—fall within the realm of dignity-conserving care. This must be balanced by each individual’s wish or need for maintaining stricter privacy boundaries, with sensitivity toward both personal and social boundaries (Table).

Burden to others can be a particularly difficult source of distress for patients, with some studies reporting that it is highly associated with an interest in physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia.55,61,64 When patients perceive that their illness is weighing heavily on their support network, or that death will continue to inflict a burden on those who will be left behind (ie, aftermath concerns), clinicians should encourage open, frank discussion about these issues. Fears often can be allayed, and patients reassured that burdens are usually counterbalanced by the privilege of others’ being able to be with them, or look after them, in the little time they have left. Aftermath concerns can most readily be addressed by encouraging patients to settle their affairs, write an advance directive, name a health care proxy, make a will, or even—should they so wish—be involved in funeral planning.

Burden to others can be accentuated when patients perceive that their personhood or net worth has been reduced to their illness and its associated encumbrances.28,32 One of the most potent dignity-conserving strategies lies in understanding the notion of care tenor.31 This denotes the affective and attitudinal tone of care and, if dignity is to be maintained, must convey respect and an affirmation of the patient’s continued worth. While this can sometimes be achieved by inquiry into the things that have mattered and still matter most to the patient (see “Dignity-Conserving Repertoire”), it is most fundamentally based in the ability to see the patient as a whole person, deserving of honor and esteem. Maintaining dignity goes beyond what one does with or to a patient, and often resides in how one sees the patient.

CONCLUSIONS

Although dignity-conserving care should be evaluated and validated in diverse populations, the concept of conserving dignity in end-of-life care should become part of the palliative care lexicon, and the overarching standard of care for all patients nearing death. Such care should encompass a broad range of interventions, based on an understanding of the many sources of distress that may infringe on a patient’s sense of dignity. What defines dignity for each patient and his or her family is unique and should be considered by clinicians to provide the most comprehensive, empathic end-of-life care possible. The model of dignity offers a framework by which clinicians can consider this task, enabling dying with dignity to become an explicitly targeted outcome.

Dignity-conserving care comprises not only what one does to patients, but how one sees patients. Affirmation can “remind the dying of their dignity . . . [which] is precisely what it means to comfort the dying.”37 When dying patients are seen, and know that they are seen, as being worthy of honor and esteem by those who care for them, dignity is more likely to be maintained. In turn, caregivers are imbued with the dignity rendered by their actions, better enabling them to provide care and comfort to those nearing death.
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Other Resources

**The Canadian Palliative Care Association**
http://www.cpca.net/
A national Web site providing an overview of palliative care in Canada, including access to the *Norms of Practice for Hospice Palliative Care*, and the Senate of Canada’s report, *Quality End-of-Life Care: The Right of Every Canadian*

**International Psycho-Oncology Society**
http://www.ipos-aspboa.org/
This Web site also serves as a home to the American Society for Psychosocial and Behavioral Oncology/AIDS (ASPBOA); contains a variety of educational materials and linkages, targeted at the psychosocial aspects of patient care

**Pallium**
http://www.pallium.ca/
A Web-based professional community of clinicians, educators, and academicians engaged in building Canada’s palliative care capacity together

**Project on Death in America**
http://www.soros.org/death/
Provides a broad range of resources related to palliative care, including funding initiatives, educational materials, and summaries of international efforts related to end-of-life care

**University of Ottawa Institute of Palliative Care**
http://www.pallcare.org/
Provides access to a broad range of end-of-life educational material, including an online education center