Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias: CT Features

Idiopathic interstitial pneumonias comprise usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), respiratory bronchiolitis-associated interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD), cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP), acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP), and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP). Each of these entities has a typical imaging and histologic pattern, although in practice the imaging patterns may be variable. Each entity may be idiopathic or may be secondary to a recognizable cause such as collagen vascular disease or inhalational exposure. The diagnosis of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia is made by means of correlation of clinical, imaging, and pathologic features. The characteristic computed tomographic (CT) features of UIP are predominantly basal and peripheral reticular pattern with honeycomb and traction bronchiectasis. NSIP is characterized by predominantly basal ground-glass opacity and/or reticular pattern, often with traction bronchiectasis. DIP and RB-ILD are smoking-related lung diseases characterized by ground-glass opacity and centrilobular nodules. COP is characterized by patchy peripheral or peribronchovascular consolidation. AIP manifests as diffuse lung consolidation and ground-glass opacity. LIP is associated with a CT pattern of ground-glass opacity sometimes associated with perivascular cysts.

The idiopathic interstitial pneumonias (IIPs) are a group of diffuse parenchymal lung diseases that share many features but are sufficiently different from one another to be designated as separate disease entities (1). The general term idiopathic interstitial pneumonia includes usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), desquamative interstitial pneumonia (DIP), respiratory bronchiolitis-associated interstitial lung disease (RB-ILD), cryptogenic organizing pneumonia (COP), acute interstitial pneumonia (AIP), and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (LIP). These entities can be easily distinguished from other forms of diffuse parenchymal lung disease by clinical methods, including history, physical examination, laboratory studies, imaging, and pathologic analysis. However, patterns of lung injury similar or identical to those seen in the IIPs are found in many other conditions, including collagen vascular disease, drug reactions, asbestosis, and chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis. The term idiopathic is reserved for those conditions in which the cause of the lung injury pattern is unknown. The classification does not include other morphologically distinct idiopathic lung diseases such as sarcoidosis and the eosinophilic pneumonias.

There have been several previous classifications of the IIPs (2–4), but none of these has clearly delineated the complementary roles of the pathologist, radiologist, and clinician in diagnosing these conditions. Because of substantial variation in the definition and terminology of the IIPs, the American Thoracic Society and the European Respiratory Society convened an international committee of pulmonologists, thoracic radiologists, and pulmonary pathologists to clarify the nomenclature and typical patterns of these conditions. The classification was published in full in the American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine in 2002 (1). The purpose of the present review is to illustrate the aspects of this classification that are of importance to the radiologist. In particular, we will delineate the typical radiologic features of these entities, with radiologic-pathologic correlation, and review the radiologic differential diagnoses.

Although the new classification is based on histologic criteria, there is a clear recognition that the pattern at thin-section computed tomography (CT) is important in delineat-
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- Distinction of UIP from the other interstitial pneumonias is important because UIP is associated with a substantially poorer prognosis than the other entities.

- In the correct clinical context, the CT features of UIP and organizing pneumonia are often diagnostic.

- Distinction of UIP from the other interstitial pneumonias is important because UIP is associated with a substantially poorer prognosis than the other entities.

- The role of the radiologist is to identify the macroscopic morphologic pattern and to work with the clinician and pathologist to generate an integrated clinical diagnosis.

The terms *usual interstitial pneumonia* and *idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis* have become much more narrowly defined since they were originally proposed several decades ago. The term *idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis* is now applied solely to the clinical syndrome associated with the morphologic pattern of UIP and specifically excludes entities such as NSIP and DIP (6). At histologic examination, the fibroblastic focus—a cluster of fibroblasts and immature connective tissue within the pulmonary interstitium (Fig 1)—has been recognized as a key early lesion of UIP (7). Because UIP is primarily a fibrotic condition, the concept of alveolitis as an inflammatory phase of UIP is no longer valid. The histologic diagnosis of UIP is based on temporal heterogeneity: the identification of fibrotic lesions of differing stages (fibroblastic foci, mature fibrosis, and honeycombing) within the same biopsy specimen (Fig 1) (3). In addition to the temporal heterogeneity, the histologic abnormality is spatially heterogeneous, with patchy lung involvement and normal lung adjacent to severely fibrotic lung.

Patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis are usually over 50 years of age at the time of presentation, with men being affected slightly more often than women (6). In most patients, symptoms have been present for more than 6 months before presentation. Patients usually present with progressive shortness of breath and nonproductive cough. Fine crackles may be found during clinical examination, and physiologic evaluation usually shows lung restriction. The clinical course of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis is invariably one of gradual deterioration, sometimes interspersed with periods of more rapid decline. The median survival from time of diagnosis varies between 2.5 and 3.5 years (8). Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, as currently defined, does not usually respond to steroid treatment, in contrast to the other IIPs.

UIP is important for the radiologist because it is one of the most common interstitial lung diseases and because a confident thin-section CT diagnosis of UIP is usually correct. The radiologist must be familiar with the typical features of UIP and with the features that make UIP unlikely. UIP is characterized on thin-section CT images by the presence of reticular opacities, often associated with traction bronchiectasis (Fig 2) (9,10). Honeycombing is common. Ground-glass opacity is common but is usually less extensive than the reticular pattern. Architectural distortion, which reflects lung fibrosis, is often prominent. Lobar volume loss is seen in cases of more advanced fibrosis. The distribution of UIP on CT images is characteristically basal and peripheral, though it is often patchy. Micronodules, air trapping, nonhoneycomb cysts, extensive ground-glass opacification, consolidation, or a predominantly peribronchovascular distribution should lead to an alternative diagnosis.

The authors of several retrospective studies (11–15) have documented that the positive predictive value of a CT diagnosis of UIP ranges from 70% to 100%, while the positive predictive value of a confident CT diagnosis of UIP is 95%–100%. In a recent prospective study (16), the positive predictive value of a diagnosis of UIP was about 90%, while the positive predictive value of a confident diagnosis of UIP was 96%. It should be noted that, in general, these studies were performed by expert pulmonary radiologists. Also, in these studies a confident CT diagnosis of UIP was not made in 25%–50% of cases of histologically demonstrated UIP. A confident CT diagnosis of UIP is difficult to make in patients who do not show all of the typical features, particularly honeycombing.

Because of the high degree of accuracy of thin-section CT diagnosis in many cases of UIP, the diagnosis of UIP is commonly based on clinical and imaging features, without the need for surgical biopsy. However, some cases of UIP have a CT appearance that overlaps with that of NSIP. In such cases, the diagnosis of UIP can only be made with the aid of lung biopsy. The American Thoracic Society has published criteria for diagnosis of UIP
TABLE 1
American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society Classification of IIPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphologic Pattern</th>
<th>Clinical Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UIP</td>
<td>Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSIP</td>
<td>NSIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>DIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory bronchiolitis</td>
<td>RB-ILD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizing pneumonia</td>
<td>COP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse alveolar damage</td>
<td>AIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>LIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Adapted and reprinted, with permission, from reference 1.

TABLE 2
IIP Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphologic Pattern</th>
<th>Histologic Features</th>
<th>Imaging Features</th>
<th>Imaging Differential Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UIP</td>
<td>Spatial and temporal heterogeneity, dense fibrosis, fibroblastic foci, honeycombing</td>
<td>Basal, peripheral predominance, often patchy, reticular abnormality, honeycombing</td>
<td>Collagen vascular disease, asbestosis, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSIP</td>
<td>Spatially and temporally homogeneous lung fibrosis or inflammation</td>
<td>Basal predominance, ground-glass abnormality, reticular abnormality</td>
<td>Collagen vascular disease, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, DIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>Diffuse macrophage accumulation in alveoli</td>
<td>Basal, peripheral predominance; ground-glass attenuation; sometimes cysts</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis, NSIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory bronchiolitis</td>
<td>Peribronchiolar macrophage accumulation, bronchiolar fibrosis; macrophages have dusty brown cytoplasm</td>
<td>Centrilobular nodules, ground-glass attenuation</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizing pneumonia</td>
<td>Patchy distribution of intraluminal organizing fibrosis in distal airspaces; preservation of lung architecture; uniform temporal appearance; mild interstitial chronic inflammation</td>
<td>Ground-glass attenuation; consolidation basal, peripheral predominance</td>
<td>Collagen vascular disease, infection, vasculitis, sarcoidosis, lymphoma, alveolar carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse alveolar damage</td>
<td>Diffuse distribution, uniform temporal appearance, alveolar septal thickening due to organizing fibrosis, airspace organization, hyaline membranes</td>
<td>Diffuse, ground-glass attenuation, consolidation</td>
<td>Acute respiratory distress syndrome, infection, hydrostatic edema, hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>Diffuse lymphoplasmacytic infiltration of alveolar septa</td>
<td>Ground-glass attenuation, cysts</td>
<td>DIP, NSIP, hypersensitivity pneumonitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source.—Reference 4.

TABLE 3
American Thoracic Society Criteria for Diagnosis of IPF in Absence of Surgical Biopsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion Type</th>
<th>Criterion Definition*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major†</td>
<td>Exclusion of other known causes of interstitial lung disease (eg, certain drug toxicities, environmental exposures, connective tissue disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal pulmonary function studies that include evidence of restriction (reduced vital capacity often with increased FEV1/FVC) and impaired gas exchange (increased ( P(a-a)O_2 ) with rest or exercise or decreased ( DLCO ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bibasilar reticular abnormalities with minimal ground-glass opacities at thin-section CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transbronchial lung biopsy or bronchoalveolar lavage specimens that show no features supporting alternate diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor‡</td>
<td>Age &gt; 50 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insidious onset of otherwise unexplained dyspnea on exertion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Illness duration &gt; 3 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bibasilar, inspiratory crackles (dry or &quot;Velcro&quot;-type in quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source.—Reference 15.

* \( DLCO \) = diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide, \( FEV_1 \) = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, \( FVC \) = forced vital capacity, \( P(a-a)O_2 \) = alveolar-arterial oxygen pressure difference.
† All must be present.
‡ Three of four must be present.
idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis varies widely from series to series but is probably about 10%–15% (21). When cancer occurs, it seems to predominantly affect the lower lobes (Fig 4).

Accelerated deterioration (“acute exacerbation”) of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (22) manifests with a relatively short onset of progressive dyspnea or cough, occasionally associated with systemic symptoms, in a patient with underlying idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. There is usually a short prodrome of 4–8 weeks duration. On CT images, the accelerated deterioration is characterized by diffuse or peripheral ground-glass opacification (Fig 5) (22), which must be distinguished clinically from opportunistic viral or Pneumocystis infection.

The differential diagnosis for the CT pattern of UIP includes collagen vascular disease, chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and asbestosis (Fig 6). Features that help to distinguish chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis include upper or middle zone predominance, presence of micronodules, absence of honeycombing (23), and presence of mosaic attenuation or air trapping (24). However, there are a minority of cases of chronic hypersensitivity pneumonitis with predominantly basal reticular pattern and honeycombing, which are radiologically indistinguishable from UIP.

**NONSPECIFIC INTERSTITIAL PNEUMONIA**

NSIP is a histologic entity characterized by spatially homogenous alveolar wall thickening caused by inflammation and/
or fibrosis (25). The spatial and temporal homogeneity of this pattern are important in distinguishing NSIP from UIP (Figs 7, 8). The most important clinical fact about NSIP is that the prognosis is substantially better than that of UIP (5,17,26) (Fig 9). NSIP may be classified on the basis of the relative amounts of lung fibrosis and inflammation. Patients with predominant fibrosis (fibrotic NSIP) (Fig 7) have a poorer prognosis than do those with inflammatory histologic findings (cellular NSIP) (Fig 8) (26). The clinical features of NSIP are similar to those of UIP, except that patients with NSIP are more commonly female and generally have a younger mean age than do those with UIP.

Because of the histologic spatial homogeneity of NSIP, ground-glass opacity is its salient CT feature and is often associated with evidence of fibrosis (lobar volume loss, reticular pattern, and/or traction bronchiectasis) (Fig 10) (10,27–31).

As with UIP, DIP, and COP, the abnormality usually shows a basal predominance. The transverse distribution may be subpleural, peribronchovascular, or both. Consolidation is uncommon, and honeycombing is rare. Variation among CT features of NSIP reported in existing series may be related to differences in histologic diagnostic criteria for NSIP at different centers. The CT features of cellular and fibrotic NSIP overlap considerably (32) (Fig 11).

The parenchymal abnormalities of NSIP, including reticular pattern, traction bronchiectasis, and ground-glass opacity, may all be reversible at follow-up examination (Fig 12) (31). Indeed, it seems likely that many of the patients included in previous series of fibrosing alveolitis who had a predominant pattern of ground-glass opacity had NSIP rather than UIP, which would thereby explain the fact that these patients were more likely to respond to steroid treatment (33,34).

Histologic and radiologic evidence of the NSIP pattern is commonly found in patients with collagen vascular diseases (Fig 13), hypersensitivity pneumonitis (Fig 14), and drug-induced lung disease. Therefore, the recognition of this pattern should prompt a search for the underlying cause. The CT pattern of NSIP may overlap with those of organizing pneumonia and DIP. Because the thin-section CT features of NSIP may overlap with those of organizing pneumonia, DIP, and UIP, a surgical lung biopsy should be considered when the thin-section CT pattern suggests NSIP.

DESQUAMATIVE INTERSTITIAL PNEUMONIA

DIP is an uncommon condition that primarily affects cigarette smokers in their 4th or 5th decades of life (35). It is characterized histologically by spatially homogeneous thickening of alveolar septa, associated with intraalveolar accumulation of macrophages (Fig 15). The term desquamative was applied to this entity because the intraalveolar macrophages were initially thought to represent desquamated alveolar cells.

DIP is more common in men than in women (male-to-female ratio, 2:1). A progressive onset of dyspnea and dry cough is usual, and patients may progress to respiratory failure. Digital clubbing develops in about 40% of cases. Most patients improve with smoking cessation and oral corticosteroids. The overall survival is about 70% after 10 years.

Ground-glass opacification, present on CT images in all cases of DIP (Fig 16) (10,36), is due to the spatially homogeneous accumulation of intraalveolar macrophages and alveolar septal thickening. The abnormality has a lower-zone...
and peripheral distribution in the majority of cases. Irregular linear opacities and a reticular pattern are frequent but are limited in extent and are usually confined to the lung bases. Honeycombing is uncommon, but well-defined cysts may occur within the areas of ground-glass opacification (Fig 16). The cysts are usually round, thin-walled, and less than 2 cm in diameter (37). The ground-glass opacification usually regresses with treatment. Progression of ground-glass opacification to a reticular pattern occurs infrequently (<20% of cases).

DIP, respiratory bronchiolitis, and RB-ILD are considered to be part of a spectrum of smoking-related lung diseases (38), but they differ histologically in that DIP is diffuse while respiratory bronchiolitis and RB-ILD are centered on the respiratory bronchiole. On CT images, RB-ILD differs from DIP in that the ground-glass opacification of RB-ILD is usually less extensive, more patchy, and more poorly defined than that in DIP. Centrilobular nodules are uncommon in DIP. The changes of respiratory bronchiolitis are usually less severe than those of RB-ILD.

Conditions that may be radiologically indistinguishable from DIP include NSIP, acute or subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and infections such as *P carinii* pneumonia.

**RESPIRATORY BRONCHIOLITIS AND RB-ILD**

Respiratory bronchiolitis is a histopathologic lesion found in cigarette smokers and is characterized by the presence of pigmented intraluminal macrophages within first- and second-order respiratory bronchioles (Fig 17). It is usually asymptomatic. In rare cases, however, patients who are heavy smokers may develop RB-ILD, a condition characterized by substantial pulmonary symptoms, abnormal pulmonary function, and imaging abnormalities, with respiratory bronchiolitis being the only histologic lesion identified when lung biopsy is performed. Respiratory bronchiolitis, RB-ILD, and DIP are best regarded as a part of a continuum of smoking-related lung injuries (Table 4) (38). RB-ILD usually affects heavy smokers with an average exposure of more than 30 pack-years.

Patients with asymptomatic respiratory bronchiolitis generally show mild centrilobular nodularity and small patches of ground-glass opacity (Fig 18) (39). In RB-ILD, both of these findings, particularly that of ground-glass opacity, become more extensive (Fig 19) (40). The CT findings of RB-ILD are at least partially reversible in patients who stop smoking (41). The CT features of RB-ILD may be similar to those of hypersensitivity pneumonitis and NSIP. The clinical differentiation of RB-ILD from hypersensitivity pneumonitis is facilitated by exposure history and by the fact that most patients with hypersensitivity pneumonitis are nonsmokers (42,43).
COP has also been called bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia (BOOP) or idiopathic BOOP. The term *cryptogenic organizing pneumonia* is preferred because its clinical, physiologic, and imaging features are unrelated to bronchiolar obliteration. For these reasons, COP is more appropriately classified as an IIP than as a small-airways disease. Although the organizing pneumonia process is primarily intraalveolar, it was included in the classification of the interstitial pneumonias because of its idiopathic nature and because its appearance may overlap with that of the other interstitial pneumonias. As with the other idiopathic pneumonias, the term *organizing pneumonia* is used to refer to the morphologic pattern (which may occur in a wide variety of entities), while COP is used to indicate the associated idiopathic clinical syndrome.

Histologically, organizing pneumonia is distinguished by patchy areas of consolidation characterized by polypoid plugs of loose organizing connective tissue (arrows). The architecture of the lung is preserved, and all the connective tissue is the same age. Inflammation is mild or moderate.

Patients with COP typically present with cough and dyspnea of relatively short duration. Because of the presence of consolidation on chest radiographs,
the initial diagnosis often is pneumonia, but the patients fail to respond to treatment with antibiotics.

COP is characterized radiographically by unilateral or bilateral areas of consolidation (44). Consolidation is present on CT images in 90% of patients with COP (Fig 20), with a subpleural or peribronchial distribution in up to 50% of cases (45). The lower lungs are more frequently involved. Air bronchograms, with mild cylindric bronchial dilatation, are common. Ground-glass opacities are present in about 60% of cases. Reticular opacities are less common but, when present, are associated with histologic evidence of fibrosis (46). Pleural effusion may occur, although this is relatively uncommon (Fig 21).

Most patients with COP demonstrate radiologic improvement or resolution with steroid treatment. The parenchymal abnormalities may spontaneously resolve or migrate. If reticular opacities are present on the chest radiograph or CT image of a patient with COP, the patient is less likely to respond to steroids (47,48).

In addition to cases of COP, the organizing pneumonia pattern may be found in cases of collagen vascular diseases (particularly rheumatoid arthritis and polymyositis) (Fig 22). The differential diagnosis of COP includes bronchoalveolar carcinoma, lymphoma, vasculitis, sarcoidosis, chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, and infection. Most of these entities can be excluded with the aid of clinical evaluation, bronchoalveolar lavage, and/or transbronchial biopsy.

**ACUTE INTERSTITIAL PNEUMONIA**

AIP is a rapidly progressive form of interstitial pneumonia. The histologic findings are those of diffuse alveolar damage (Fig 23) indistinguishable from the histologic pattern found in acute respiratory distress syndrome caused by sepsis and shock. Edema and hyaline membranes are prominent in the acute phase, and organizing alveolar septal fibrosis and pneumocyte hyperplasia are conspicuous in the organizing phase. The term *acute interstitial pneumonia* is reserved for diffuse alveolar damage of unknown origin.

Patients with AIP often have a prior illness suggestive of a viral upper respiratory infection with constitutional symp-
Hypoxemia progresses rapidly to respiratory failure. Mechanical ventilation is usually required. Most patients fulfill the clinical criteria for acute respiratory distress syndrome: acute onset, ratio of arterial partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen of 200 mm Hg or lower, diffuse bilateral opacities on chest radiographs, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure of less than 18 mm Hg. The mortality rate is 50% or higher.

The most common CT findings in patients with AIP are areas of ground-glass opacity, bronchial dilatation, and architectural distortion (Fig 24) (49). In the early exudative phase, the lung shows areas of ground-glass opacity that are most often bilateral and patchy, with areas of focal sparing of lung lobules, that produce a geographic appearance (50). Consolidation is seen in most cases, particularly in the dependent lung. The organizing stage of diffuse alveolar damage is associated with distortion of bronchovascular bundles and traction bronchiectasis. The few patients who survive show progressive clearing of the ground-glass opacity and consolidation. The most common residual thin-section CT findings are areas of hypoattenuation, lung cysts, reticular pattern, and associated parenchymal distortion occurring mainly in the nondependent lung (51).

Although the radiologic appearances of AIP and acute respiratory distress syndrome overlap, patients with AIP are more likely to have a symmetric lower-lobe distribution of abnormalities and a greater prevalence of honeycombing (52). The reason for the increased prevalence of honeycombing is unclear but may be related to the presence of underlying UIP in some cases. In addition to acute respiratory distress syndrome (Fig 25), the radiologic differential diagnosis of AIP depends on the stage but can include widespread infection, hydrostatic edema, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, and pulmonary hemorrhage.

LYMPHOID INTERSTITIAL PNEUMONIA

Liebow and Carrington (53) introduced the term lymphoid interstitial pneumonia in 1973 to describe a diffuse lymphocytic interstitial infiltrate that was distinct from other patterns of interstitial pneumonia (Fig 26). The alveolar septal interstitium is infiltrated by lymphocytes and small to moderate numbers of plasma cells. Immunohistochemical analysis is important for distinguishing LIP from low-grade lymphoma. If LIP is proved to be due to polyclonal lymphocyte proliferation, progression to lymphoma is quite uncommon. LIP is commonly associated with connective tissue disorders (particularly Sjögren syndrome), with immunodeficiency (particularly acquired immunodeficiency syndrome), and with Castleman syndrome. Idiopathic LIP is rare, but it was included in the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society classification because it must be considered in the clinical and radiologic differential diagnosis of diffuse lung disease, and its histologic pattern is unequivocally that of an interstitial pneumonia. The clinical manifestation of LIP
is usually that of the underlying systemic disease.

The dominant CT finding in LIP is usually ground-glass opacity (Fig 27). Perivascular cysts or, less commonly, perivascular honeycombing can also be seen (54,55). Reticular pattern is seen in about half of patients. Lung nodules and widespread consolidation may occur. Other findings may include thickening of the bronchovascular bundles and interlobular septal thickening.

**ACCURACY OF CT DIAGNOSIS OF IIP**

As discussed earlier in this review, the accuracy of CT diagnosis of IIPs is greatest for UIP. The classic CT features of COP (subpleural or peribronchovascular consolidation) can be diagnostic if infection, malignancy, and eosinophilic pneumonitis are excluded.

Ground-glass opacity, with or without reticular pattern, is the salient feature of NSIP, DIP, RB-ILD, and LIP. Apart from the presence of cysts in some cases of DIP and LIP, there are no firm criteria for distinguishing among these entities. Although the prognosis of these non-UIP diseases is similar, histologic evaluation is often important to help exclude other causes of diffuse ground-glass opacity such as hypersensitivity pneumonitis.

Because AIP usually manifests as acute hypoxemic respiratory failure, it does not enter into the clinical differential diagnosis of the other IIPs.

Johkoh et al (10) reviewed the accuracy of CT diagnosis in 129 patients with UIP, NSIP, COP, and AIP. They found that the positive predictive value of CT for diagnosis of each entity was 79% for COP, 71% for UIP, 65% for AIP, 63% for DIP, and only 9% for NSIP. The low level of accuracy for diagnosis of NSIP may be due to the fact that the CT features of NSIP were not well established at the time the study was performed. Their study may have included a relatively large number of cases of atypical UIP, since patients with typical UIP are usually treated without surgical biopsy. A more recent study (32) in patients with UIP and NSIP found that the positive predictive value of a diagnosis of NSIP was 67%. In about 25% of cases of UIP, however, the CT appearances overlap with those of NSIP. Since the prognosis of NSIP is substantially different from that of UIP, biopsy may be necessary to distinguish these cases of “atypical UIP” from NSIP.

**INTEGRATED DIAGNOSIS OF IIP**

Distinction among the IIPs is important largely because of the differences in prognosis associated with these conditions (5). Because UIP is associated with sharply decreased survival relative to that of the other conditions, the most important task for the radiologist and pathologist is to distinguish individuals with this morphologic pattern from those with the other entities.

The diagnosis of IIP requires integration of the morphologic patterns identified by the radiologist and pathologist with the clinical features evaluated by the clinician. A critical role for the clinician is to determine whether the interstitial abnormality is idiopathic or related to an inhalational exposure or to collagen vascular disease. The radiologist must determine whether the CT features are typical for UIP or for organizing pneumonia or whether the features are less specific. The decision about biopsy in the patient suspected of having an IIP should be based on consultation between the clinician and radiologist. Patients with typical clinical and radiologic features of UIP will usually not need to undergo biopsy. Patients with typical clinical and radiologic features of organizing pneumonia may not require a biopsy if infection and neoplasm can be excluded after bronchoscopy with lavage and biopsy. The other interstitial pneumonias usually cannot be distinguished on the basis of clinical and CT features, and thoracoscopic biopsy will usually be necessary if a precise histologic diagnosis is required—particularly if hypersensitivity pneumonitis is included in the differential diagnosis (unless the exposure history provides compelling evidence for hy-
persensitivity pneumonitis. When surgical biopsy is performed, the results should always be interpreted in conjunction with the CT findings, since CT shows the macroscopic morphology of the entire lung while biopsy reveals microscopic morphology in only one or two small peripheral areas. CT may also be helpful in identifying a suitable location for surgical biopsy.

**SUMMARY**

Figure 28 summarizes key points of the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society classification of IIPs that are of relevance to radiologists. The IIPs are each associated with typical histologic and imaging patterns, and accurate diagnosis of these disorders requires a dynamic integrated approach correlating clinical, radiologic, and pathologic features. The CT appearances of UIP and COP may be diagnostic in the correct clinical context, but there is substantial overlap in the CT appearances of the other IIPs. The presence of cysts should suggest the possibility of LIP or DIP.
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